UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,515         | 03/09/2004                          | Ronald L. Gordon     | FIS920030380        | 2514             |
|                    | 7590 09/09/200<br>OF DELIO & PETERS | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 121 WHITNEY AVENUE |                                     |                      | RASHID, DAVID       |                  |
| NEW HAVEN,         | C1 06510                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                    |                                     |                      | 2624                |                  |
|                    |                                     |                      |                     |                  |
|                    |                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                    |                                     |                      | 09/09/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/708,515      | GORDON ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |
| DAVID P. RASHID | 2624          |  |

|  | DAVID P. RASHID   | 2624   |   |
|--|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with th  | ne correspondence add  | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.  |   |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affic<br>eal (with appeal fee) in complian   | lavit, or other evidence, v<br>ice with 37 CFR 41.31; o            | which places the r (3) a Request          |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN<br>j).    | illing date of the final rejection<br>THE FIRST REPLY WAS FI       | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                     |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amore<br>hortened statutory period for reply of<br>than three months after the mailing | unt of the fee. The appropri-<br>originally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))   | , to avoid dismissal of the  |   |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a)</li></ol>   | nsideration and/or search (see N<br>w);   | NOTE below);   |   |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)  | 16 and 41.33(a)).   |  | DTOL 004)                                 |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   | ,  | ,   |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-10.12-16 and 18-25.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | will be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap  | peal and/or appellant fail   | s to provide a                            |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   | •  |   |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the applicatio   | n in condition for allowan   | ce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  | _  |   |
| /Vikkram Bali/   | /David P Rashid/  |  |   |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624   | Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2624  |  |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and search, and requires reconsideration of the prior art of record..